REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1, 3-25 and 27-28 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 16, 18, 24 and 27 are currently amended. No new matter has been added. Support for the amendments is found at least at page 18, line 18-page 19, line 25.

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 USC 103

Claims 1, 8-9, 11-12 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over XmlSpy and further in view of Anuff et al. (USP 6327628). Claims 1, 8-9, 11-12 and 15-20, as now amended, are allowable because no combination of XmlSpy and Anuff et al. teaches or suggests all of the elements of any of these claims.

Claim 1, as now amended, recites in combination with other elements a content definition editor that receives a content definition including one or more data types and one or more parameters for each data type, *and being based on a list of content item properties having assigned IDs, positions and types and being generated for each property by selecting a property type and a property action*; and a content item editor that receives content item information, *handles each said content item property in said content item property list,* and provides the content item information for storage in the content data structure, *and in connection with a same content item,* the content definition editor and the content item editor are configured for implementation on a display on content definition and content editor screens, respectively, in separate network browser windows. Neither XmlSpy nor Anuff et al., alone or in combination, teaches or suggests this advantageous feature of Applicants' invention.

The Examiner cites page 7, Editing, first two paragraphs, Figs on pp 6 & 7 to meet Applicant's content definition editor. However, these do not show "a list

of content item properties having assigned IDs, positions and types and being generated for each property by selecting a property type and a property action". The Figs show a content editing drop-down menu, a grid view showing formatting for some content items, but which does not show assigned IDs for content items properties, nor indicate the selecting of property types and property actions, and a drop-down list of content types. These features of Applicant's invention which are not disclosed by XMLSpy nor Anuff et al. advantageously permit enhanced handling, storage, publishing and routing capabilities for content items.

Moreover, neither XMLSpy nor Anuff et al. nor any combination thereof discloses a workflow system component for managing created content items for routing them each to one or more of various sources, wherein the workflow system itself is managed by one or more enumeration properties, workflow data or content searches in a manner depending on content definition, content item information, a choice made by a content creator, or by rules, or combinations thereof.

Claims 8-9, 11-12 and 15-17 are allowable as being dependent upon amended claim 1. Claim 18 is allowable for the same reasons as claim 1. Claims 19-20 are allowable as being based on amended claim 18.

Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over XmlSpy and Anuff et al., in further view of Ferrell et al. Claims 13-14 are allowable as being dependent upon amended claim 1, which is neither taught nor suggested by any combination of XmlSpy, Anuff et al. and Ferrell et al.

Claims 3, 4, 7, 24, 25, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over XmlSpy, Anuff et al. (USP 6327628), and Plantz et al. Claims 3, 4, and 7 are allowable as being dependent upon claim 1, which as now amended, is neither taught nor suggested by any combination of XmlSpy, Anuff et al. and Plantz et al., for the reasons set forth above. Claims 24 and 27, as now amended, are allowable for the same reasons as claim 1. Claim 25 and claim 28 are allowable as being dependent respectively upon amended claims 24 and 27.

Moreover, with specific regard to Plantz et al., a computer-based publishing group that enhances collaboration between and among individuals separated by a distance or time has nothing particularly to do with providing or utilizing forms for content definition, and this rejection is traversed on this additional ground.

Claims 5, 6, 10 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over XmlSpy, Anuff et al., and Yamashita et al. Claims 5, 6 and 10 are allowable as being dependent upon claim 1, which as now amended, is neither taught nor suggested by any combination of XmlSpy, Anuff et al. and Yamashita et al., for the reasons set forth above. Claims 21-23 are allowable as being dependent upon claim 18, which as now amended, is neither taught nor suggested by any combination of XmlSpy, Anuff et al. and Yamashita et al. for the same reasons as amended claim 1.

It is respectfully submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance. The Examiner's reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiencies in fees and credit any overpayment of fees to Deposit Account No. 50-2019. A duplicate page is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

JACKSON & CO., LLP

/Andrew Vernon Smith/

Dated: April 16, 2007   By _____

Andrew V. Smith
Reg. No. 43,132
Attorney for Applicant

JACKSON & CO., LLP
6114 La Salle Ave., #507
Oakland, CA 94611-2802
Telephone: 510-652-6418, Ext. 86
Facsimile: 510-652-5691

Customer No.: 30349

Moreover, with specific regard to Plantz et al., a computer-based publishing group that enhances collaboration between and among individuals separated by a distance or time has nothing particularly to do with providing or utilizing forms for content definition, and this rejection is traversed on this additional ground.

Claims 5, 6, 10 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over XmlSpy, Anuff et al., and Yamashita et al. Claims 5, 6 and 10 are allowable as being dependent upon claim 1, which as now amended, is neither taught nor suggested by any combination of XmlSpy, Anuff et al. and Yamashita et al., for the reasons set forth above. Claims 21-23 are allowable as being dependent upon claim 18, which as now amended, is neither taught nor suggested by any combination of XmlSpy, Anuff et al. and Yamashita et al. for the same reasons as amended claim 1.

It is respectfully submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance. The Examiner's reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiencies in fees and credit any overpayment of fees to Deposit Account No. 50-2019. A duplicate page is enclosed.

<div style="text-align:right">

Respectfully submitted,

JACKSON & CO., LLP

/Andrew Vernon Smith/

</div>

Dated: April 16, 2007   By _____
                                    Andrew V. Smith
                                    Reg. No. 43,132
                                    Attorney for Applicant

JACKSON & CO., LLP
6114 La Salle Ave., #507
Oakland, CA 94611-2802
Telephone: 510-652-6418, Ext. 86
Facsimile: 510-652-5691

Customer No.: 30349